

Bachelor Thesis Supervisor's Expert Opinion

Student: Hrozia Nazarii
 Student Number: E22654
 Title of Bachelor Thesis: Comparison of geoportals of selected EU cities
 Aim of the Thesis: The goal is to propose a set of criteria for comparing the geoportals of EU cities from the point of view of city visitors and residents and compare the geoportals of selected cities in the EU according to these criteria sets.
 Thesis Supervisor: Ing. Tomáš Brunclík, Ph.D.
 Study Programme: Informatics and System Engineering
 Academic Year: 2025

Difficulty of the Topic

	Excellent	Very good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Cannot be evaluated
Theoretical knowledge	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Input data and their processing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Methods used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Thesis Evaluation Criteria

	Excellent	Very good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Cannot be evaluated
Degree of achievement of the aim of the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Original attitude to the topic processing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Adequacy of the methods used	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Depth of analysis (relative to topic)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Logical structure of the thesis and scope	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Working with Czech and foreign literature including citations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Formal arrangement of the thesis (text, charts, tables)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Language level (style, grammar, terminology)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Applicability of the Results of the Thesis

	High	Medium	Low	Cannot be evaluated
For theory	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
For practice	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Other Comments on the Thesis

The author proposed a meaningful set of criteria for comparing geoportals and demonstrated their practical use. He selected appropriate methods, and the aim of the thesis was fulfilled. The student regularly attended consultations and showed interest in the topic, effectively learning from different sources. However, in the final stage, he stopped attending consultations and created the criteria for evaluation on his own, missing out on further improvements with the supervisor's guidance. The application of evaluation criteria consists of two main parts, detailed in chapters 1.5 and 1.6. The first part is conducted through a web application. The second is completed by the student in written form, making it more subjective and difficult to compare the results of the geoportals with each other, but still adequate.

Comments on the Outputs from the Theses System

The submitted work is not plagiarised. The highest degree of similarity is 3 %. The number of similar documents: 5. The similarity was primarily found in the parts before the introduction or in basic concept explanations used in different phrasing across various sources with a common topic.

Questions and Suggestions for Defence

How would it be possible to improve the objectivity and comparability of the criteria application results for individual geoportals in chapter 1.6?

Final Evaluation

I **recommend** the thesis for the defence.
I propose to grade this Bachelor thesis as follows: **C**

In Pardubice 22.5.2025

Signature