

Thesis Reviewer's Report

Student: Lorraine Tinashe Majo
 Title: Analysis of E-government and Digital Society Indicators in Selected Countries
 Supervisor: Ing. et Ing. Martin Lněnička, Ph.D.
 Reviewer: Mariusz Luterek, Ph.D.
 Reviewer's job title: Assistant Professor, Faculty of Journalism, Information and Book Studies,
 University of Warsaw

Assessment criteria

	excellent	very good	acceptable	unacceptable	N/A
Achievement of the aims of the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Use of appropriate methods	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Depth of analysis (in relation to the topic)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure and extent of the thesis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Use of Czech and foreign sources (including references)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Formal aspects (text, diagrams, charts)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of language (style, grammar, terminology)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Usability of the results

	high	medium	low	N/A
In theory	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
In practice	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Other comments

The aim of the thesis was to analyse e-government and digital society indicators in selected countries. This goal, in principle, has been achieved, but the selection of countries (restriction to European Union member states), for greater readability of the dissertation, should be indicated in the title. The selected sample is very homogeneous, which affects the range of possible conclusions.

Questions and suggestions for the defence

- 1) The past year has brought many developments in the area of artificial intelligence. In your opinion, what are the possible developments in e-government ranking methodologies in this context? How might their composition and data collection methods change?
- 2) In the first decade of this century, studies of the development of e-government in European Union countries pointed to a major problem of the so-called usage gap, defined as the difference between high levels of provision and low levels of usage of digital services. What, in your opinion, are the reasons for the abandonment of measuring the usage gap in subsequent years?

Overall evaluation

I **recommend** the thesis for defence.
The proposed grade for the thesis: **C**

In Warsaw on 1.7.2023

Signature *Mariusz Luterek, Ph.D.*