

Candidate:

## Opponent's review of Dissertation

Ing. Özgür Yurdakul

Title of dissertation:		Probabilistic Nonlinear Computer Simulations for Realistic Prediction of Structural Response 3706V005 – Transport Means and Infrastructure						
Branch of study:		3700000	<b>1</b> 5 –	Transport M	164	ns anu Iniras	u	cure
Supervisor:	Ing. Ladislav Řoutil, Ph.D.							
Opponent:	<b>prof. Ing. Zbyněk Keršner, CSc.</b> Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Institute of Structural Mechanics, Veveří 331/95, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic; e-mail: kersner.z@fce.vutbr.cz							
Appointment of a dissertation reviewer / Date of request for review: 16. 10. 2019								
Topicality of the	docto	ral thesis	the	me				
The topicality of the simulation of selecter respect to uncertaint	ed reinfo	orced concr	ete	structures (stru				
Evaluation:								
⊠ excellent	□ abo	ove average		average		below average		poor
<b>Fulfilment of the</b> Objectives of the the Evaluation:					e are	evidently fulfille	d on	very high level.
□ excellent	⊠ abo	ove average		average		below average		poor
Appropriate methods laws, approaches for extensive experimen	and prostations and protection statistics an	ocedures for cal, sensitivi . Number o	r dea ty a f ref	nd reliability and erences to the r	alys	ses etc. are descr vant literature is	ribed also	d, including very adequate.
□ excellent	⊠ abo	ve average		average		below average		poor
Importance for p	ractic	e and for	dev	elopment wi	ithi	n a branch of	sci	ence

PhD student has apparently contributed for practice and scientific research obtaining interesting and

valuable results from advanced failure response analyses of carefully selected experiments.

Evaluation:								
□ excellent ⊠ above aver	age 🗆 average	□ below average	□ poor					
Formal layout of the doctoral thesis and the level of language used  Dissertation is written in English. The overall concept of the thesis, editing and text arrangement indicate high level of work; typos and minor errors are infrequent.								
	nd minor errors are intro	equent.						
Evaluation:  □ excellent  □ above aver	age 🗆 average	□ below average	□ poor					
,	ego   == avallage	_ below average	<u> </u>					
Results of the doctoral thesi	s – dissertant's con	crete achieveme	nts					
The results of the work are very val papers.	uable, selected parts we	ere published in seve	eral impacted journal					
Evaluation:			T					
	rage □ average	□ below average	□ poor					
Remarks, comments								
I appreciate the extreme amount of work behind the produced numerical simulations and testing program, as well as very high level of workplaces background. Obviously, the extensive work raises a number of partial comments and questions whose explanation and answer can be expected during the oral defense of the doctoral study, also to encourage discussion by the committee.  Selected questions / problems:  1) How have been obtained displacements during the four-point bending test on the beam RILEM bond specimens (CASE III: BOND TEST): a) in real experiments, b) in numerical simulations?  2) How the joint was modelled in case of simulation of response in these tests?  3) Detailed explanation of the response in Fig. 6.44, page 172.								
Final assessment of the doct	oral thesis							
Ing. Özgür Yurdakul presented coherently structured and carefully prepared interesting and very high topicality dissertation, contributed to the development of the studied discipline, and published results of his work on international scientific platform. The above-mentioned circumstances clearly proved the ability of the doctoral student to scientific work. To conclude, dissertation evidently fulfils all obligatory requirements.								
Following a successful defence of the doctoral thesis I recommend the granting of the Ph.D. degree:								
⊠ yes □ no								
Date:	Opponent's signatı	ıre:						