# Most recently printed on December 11.07.2018 08:59:00

## **Master Thesis Supervisor's Expert Opinion**

Student: Mariama Keita

Student Number: E15817

Title of Master Thesis: Public support for innovations

Aim of the Thesis: to analyse the supportive systems for the innovations in two selected European

countries

Thesis Supervisor: Assoc. prof. Jan Stejskal, Ph.D.

Study Programme: RDG

Academic Year: 2017/2018

# **Difficulty of the Topic**

	Excellent	Very good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Cannot be evaluated
Theoretical knowledge		$\boxtimes$			
Input data and their processing			$\boxtimes$		
Methods used			$\boxtimes$		

### **Thesis Evaluation Criteria**

	Excellent	Very good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Cannot be evaluated
Degree of achievement of the aim of the thesis			$\boxtimes$		
Original attitude to the topic processing		$\boxtimes$			
Adequacy of the methods used			$\boxtimes$		
Depth of analysis (relative to topic)			$\boxtimes$		
Logical structure of the thesis and scope	$\boxtimes$				
Working with Czech and foreign literature including citations	$\boxtimes$				
Formal arrangement of the thesis (text, charts, tables)	$\boxtimes$				
Language level (style, grammar, terminology)	$\boxtimes$				

1 / 2

## Applicability of the Results of the Thesis

	High	Medium	Low	Cannot be evaluated
For theory		$\boxtimes$		
For practice		$\boxtimes$		

### **Other Comments on the Thesis**

The diploma thesis deals with an interesting and currently needed topic. I appreciate the fact that the author, with the help of a great number of literature, has worked out a high-quality research on innovation in public space.

The aim of the thesis was to compare the approaches to the support of the innovations in two European countries. The author chose the Czech Republic and Germany. As an introduction to the practical part, author chose a description of the innovation framework in which individual EU public policies are applied.

The remark to this thesis is that the author has not set forth clear criteria that would serve to compare. For this reason, the comparisons of the two described systems are relatively difficult to read and the conclusions are blurred.

I very much appreciate that the author has worked out a number of recommendations what has made based on the results of author's research.

I criticise the diploma thesis for some errors in the formal aspect, and sometimes lesser quality of figure processing.

### **Comments on the Outputs from the Theses System**

According to the results of similarity test by the StagIS, this thesis is not a plagiarism – range of similarity is lower than 5 %.

# **Questions and Suggestions for Defence**

What are the biggest differences between innovation support systems in Germany and the Czech Republic?

What could the Czech Republic take over from Germany to improve own innovation environment in the Czech Republic?

### **Final Evaluation**

I **recommend** the thesis for the defence.

I propose to grade this Master's thesis as follows: C

In Pardubice 11.7.2018

Signature

Agenda of Theses 2 / 2