

# SUPERVISOR EVALUATION OF MASTER THESIS

**Name of the student:** Al-Bareed Mohammed

**Name of master thesis supervisor:** Assoc. Prof. Jitka Komárková, Ph.D.

**The title of the master thesis:** Planning of reconstruction in conflict-affected areas

**The evaluation of the master thesis:** (evaluation: 1 = the best, 4 = the worst/failed)

	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	4
1. Sophistication of the topic		x				
2. Formulation of objectives			x			
3. Choice of appropriate methods and methodology used					x	
4. Logical process being used, work with data and information				x		
5. Theoretical background of an author		x				
6. The structure of paragraphs and chapters			x			
7. Work with scientific literature			x			
8. Comprehensibility of the text and level of language			x			
9. Clarity and professionalism of expression in the work					x	
10. Fulfilment of objectives					x	
11. Formulation of conclusions			x			
13. Overall evaluation of the master thesis					x	

## Other comments

The master thesis deals with data support for planning of reconstruction in conflict-affected areas with focus on Yemen.

The thesis and its elaboration has shown author's competence in this field. The main aim of the thesis was to design a suitable data set that would support the process of redevelopment of the country after a forced conflict. Author provides a description of processes of reconstruction and post-conflict state restoration and peacebuilding. Next, he proposes a model for reconstruction after a disaster. The proposal is followed by available data collection, a brief evaluation of data sources and a very brief proposal of a data set.


The thesis shows that the author was able to meet the given objective, solve the given tasks by himself and apply previously learned knowledge and skills although some parts (namely the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> items from the outline given by thesis assignment, i.e. chap. 5) should be more detailed to better fulfil the aim.

There are several formal mistakes, e.g. appendices are not numbered, formatting of list of references is not unified, etc.

Author usually worked with his own initiative but not regularly. He responded to most of my comments, except for the above mentioned issues.

**I recommend the thesis for defence and I propose the following grading: good (3)**

Date: 9. 10. 2017



Assoc. Prof. Jitka Komárková, Ph.D.

Thesis Supervisor

Institute of Syst. Eng. and Informatics

FEA, University of Pardubice