Heat capacity and thermal properties of calcium nitrate tetrahydrate and magnesium nitrate hexahydrate with respect to energy storage
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Abstract

The heat capacity and enthalpy of fusion of calcium nitrate tetrahydrate, and magnesium nitrate hexahydrate were determined from 234.15 K to melting temperature with point of view of energy storage. Determined values were used for the calculation of entropy and Gibbs energy in the experimental temperature range. Melting point and enthalpy of fusion of calcium nitrate tetrahydrate is 43.9 ± 0.3 °C and 36.6 ± 0.2 kJ mol⁻¹, for the magnesium nitrate hexahydrate the values are 89.7 ± 0.4 °C and 40.8 ± 0.5 kJ mol⁻¹. One solid-solid phase transformation was observed for the magnesium salt at 72.5 ± 0.9 °C with enthalpy of transition 3.1 ± 0.2 kJ mol⁻¹. The accumulated energy composed of sensible and latent heat is 43.4 and 63.8 kJ mol⁻¹ for the hydrated calcium and magnesium nitrate, respectively. The kinetics of solid-solid phase transformation for magnesium salt was studied under non-isothermal conditions by DSC and the process was described using autocatalytic model with parameters in the range of 0.50 – 0.85 for m and range of 2.58 – 1.48 for n, respectively.
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Introduction
The compounds with a phase transformation covering melting of solid salt at suitable temperature can be used as phase change materials for thermal energy storage. Knowledge of their thermodynamic data is essential in calculation of accumulated energy. Calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$.4H$_2$O, CNT), and magnesium nitrate hexahydrate (Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O, MNH) can be used considering their melting point as low temperature phase change materials (up to 200 °C) \[1, 2\].

The temperature of CNT melting is published in the range from 42 to 47 °C with enthalpy of fusion having value from 28.7 to 36.1 kJ mol$^{-1}$ \[1, 3-9\]. Published values of heat capacity are often determined by one author for short temperature range \[4, 7, 10, 11\].

Magnesium nitrate hexahydrate shows solid-solid phase transition at around 72 °C with enthalpy change of $\Delta_{trs}H = 3.1$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ \[12, 13\], and melts at about 90 °C with enthalpy of fusion varied from 38.5 to 42.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$ \[1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 12-16\]. All data available in literature are summarized with our results (described later).

This work focuses on determination of heat capacity of Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$.4H$_2$O and Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O in broad temperature range (i.e. from 234.15 K up to melting temperature of each substance). The temperature dependence of heat capacity is then used for calculation of enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs energy changes as well as for calculation of the amount of possible stored heat. The kinetics of solid-solid phase transformation for Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O was studied under non-isothermal conditions and the suitable kinetic model was determined as well as the parameters of this model.

**Experimental**

Calcium nitrate tetrahydrate (Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$.4H$_2$O, CNT) was of an analytical reagent grade (Penta, purity 99.0%) as well as magnesium nitrate hexahydrate (Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O, MNH) (Lachner, purity 99.0%). Both chemicals were stored in a fridge. The exact amount of hydrate water was determined by chelatometric titration, the average value was 3.98 and 6.07 moles for CNT and MNH, respectively. The structure of both substances was checked by X-ray diffraction analysis (Bruker AXE) performed at 298.15 K. Both chemicals were also characterised using thermogravimeter with differential thermal analyser DTA-TG (STA Jupiter 449, Netzsch). The measurement was realised in the temperature range from 303.15 to 423 K with heating rate 5 K min$^{-1}$, sample mass about 200 mg and argon as protected atmosphere (flow 30 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$).

The calorimetric measurements were performed using DSC Pyris 1 (Perkin-Elmer, USA) with Intracooler 2P. DSC was calibrated by melting temperatures of pure metals
(Hg, Ga, In, Sn, Pb and Zn) and the enthalpy change was calibrated using the fusion of indium. The samples (approximately 10 mg) were placed into the closed aluminium pans and measured in the atmosphere of dry nitrogen (flow 20 cm³ min⁻¹). The determination of accumulated heat energy includes the measurements of heat capacity and enthalpy of fusion or phase change, respectively. Heat capacity was determined from the measurements done by the modified stepwise method [17]. This procedure requires not only baseline measurement but also one additional measurement with a standard reference material (sapphire, NIST standard reference material no. 720) using the same thermal program as in the case of studied sample. The optimal conditions of measurement in studied temperature range based on experiments with molybdenum as another standard material (NIST standard reference material no. 781D2) are described in paper [17] as well as uncertainty of calculated heat capacity of the sample which did not exceed 3%. The “step” for heat capacity determination consist of 2 minutes of isotherm followed by heating step of 10 K by rate of 5 K min⁻¹ and finish by 1 minute of isotherm. The heat capacity experiments were carried out in the temperature range from 234.15 K to melting temperature of each substance. The enthalpy of fusion was determined from heating by rate of 10 K min⁻¹. The kinetics of phase change in MNH sample was studied in the temperature range of 223 – 423 K using several heating rates from 2 to 20 K min⁻¹.

Results and discussion

The diffraction patterns of the studied nitrates confirmed one phase composition corresponding diffraction lines in XRD database. The thermal behaviour of both studied samples was tested using thermogravimetric measurement from room temperature up to 423 K. In the case of calcium nitrate tetrahydrate any sample mass change did not occur up to and during melting, but from 363 to 423 K some water was evaporated (only 7.3% of original sample mass). When the magnesium nitrate hexahydrate was heated the mass started decreasing at temperature of 353 K (i.e. during the phase change) but only 5.6% of original sample mass left up to 423 K. This gradual loss of structural water is eliminated by use of closed pans in DSC experiments.

The isobaric heat capacity (C_p) was measured by modified stepwise method using DSC. This method is described in literature [17] and is based on comparison among baseline, standard material and sample DSC curves and the areas under these curves, respectively. The heat capacity of studied sample can be calculated using equation (1), where c_p is the isobaric specific heat capacity, m is a sample mass and A is the area under
relevant curve. Subscript \( b \) stands for baseline, star in superscript is used for sapphire and the values without star are for the sample.

\[
c_p = c_p^b \left( \frac{m(A - A_p)}{m(A - A_p)} \right)
\]

(1)

Values of the heat capacity of both salts were determined on DSC Pyris 1 in temperature range from 234.15 K until melting point where each experimental point is calculated as average of three independent measurements. Standard deviations are below 2\% except of points near melting where the standard deviation was higher because of kinetics of melting. In the same temperature range the polynomial functions were calculated according to

\[
C_p \left( \text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \right) = a + bT + cT^2
\]

(2)

with coefficient of determination \( R^2 = 0.999 \).

The change of enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs energy (\( \Delta H \), \( \Delta S \) and \( \Delta G \)) of tested solids were calculated from the temperature dependence of heat capacity according to Eqs. (3) to (5)

\[
\Delta H = H_{T_2} - H_{T_1} = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} C_p(s) \,dT
\]

(3)

\[
\Delta S = S_{T_2} - S_{T_1} = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \frac{C_p(s)}{T} \,dT
\]

(4)

\[
\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S.
\]

(5)

**Heat capacity and thermodynamic properties**

*Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O*

The experimental DSC, TG-DTA curves of continuous heating present one endothermic peak corresponding to melting of solid CNT. Enthalpy of fusion of CNT \( \Delta_{\text{fus}}H = 36.6 \pm 0.2 \,\text{kJ mol}^{-1} \) and melting point of CNT determined as the onset of the experimental DSC peak \( T_m = 43.9 \pm 0.3 \,\text{°C} \) and the calculated entropy of fusion are comparable with published values in Table 1.

Progress of the temperature dependency of heat capacity of CNT is showed on Fig. 1, where is evident endotherm peak meaning melting of solid phase. Shorter temperature range (235 – 315 K) is inserted for better comparison of determined values with literature data [9] with error bars \( \pm 0.009 \cdot C_p \) [3] and \( \pm 0.04 \cdot C_p \) [7].
Fig. 1 The temperature dependence of the molar heat capacity of Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O. Insert presents the temperature range of solid phase. ○ - [3], ▶ - [7], □ - [8], ⭐ - [9], + - this work

Table 1 Melting point, enthalpy and entropy of fusion of CNT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_m$/K</th>
<th>$\Delta_{\text{fus}}H$/kJ mol⁻¹</th>
<th>$\Delta_{\text{fus}}S$/J mol⁻¹K⁻¹</th>
<th>Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>315.65</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320.15</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315.85</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315.85</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316.05</td>
<td>34.1± 0.8</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315.15</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320.15</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315.75</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>36.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>115 ± 1</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sufficiently high value of coefficient of determination of Eq. 2 in temperature range is complying at upper temperature limit of 312.15 ($R^2 = 0.9997$). Final parameters of polynomial equation are presented in Table 2. This temperature dependence of $C_p$ was used for the calculation of enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs energy of CNT according to Eqs. (3)-(5), and result are summarised in Table 3 for the temperature range from 234.15 to 312.15 K.
Table 2 Parameters (a, b, c) and correlation coefficient ($R^2$) of polynomial function (Eq. 2) of CNT fitted in the temperature range 234.15-312.15 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>234.15-312.15 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>236 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>-0.060 ± 0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>14.9 x 10^{-4} ± 0.7 x 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Enthalpy, entropy changes and Gibbs energy of CNT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ /K</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ /kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta S$ /kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta G$ /kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>234.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239.15</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-89.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244.15</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-130.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249.15</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-155.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254.15</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-174.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259.15</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-191.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264.15</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>-205.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269.15</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-217.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274.15</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-229.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279.15</td>
<td>14.36</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>-240.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284.15</td>
<td>16.05</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-250.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>289.15</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-260.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>294.15</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-270.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299.15</td>
<td>21.23</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>-279.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304.15</td>
<td>22.99</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>-289.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309.15</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-298.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312.15</td>
<td>25.87</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>-303.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Energy accumulation in material during heating can be described in term of sensible and latent heat. The amount of stored sensible heat depends on the heat capacity of the material and latent heat storage depends on the enthalpy change of phase transition. Combination of both gives amount of energy stored in the material which is heated from room temperature to melting and is described as
\[ Q = \int_{298.15}^{T_m} C_p \, (s) \, dT + \Delta_{\text{fus}} \, H \] (6)

with result of the total accumulated energy for CNT of \( Q = 43.4 \, \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \).

\textit{Mg(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{6H}_2\text{O}

Calculated points of the temperature dependency of heat capacity of MNH are showed on Figure 2 where two endothermic peaks are evident. First of them correspond to (s)-(s) phase transition of \( \alpha \rightarrow \beta \) and the second to melting of the solid phase. Pouillen [19] declared that at temperature 260.15 K there is another endothermic effect of polymorphic transformation although Cantor [12] did not support this fact. However, comparison between wet and normal MNH sample showed (Fig. 3 – insert) that effects below and around temperature of 273 K are caused by humidity condensed on MNH (sample taken from the fridge where is stored to prevent any change in the amount of hydrated water) and immediately measured, so that any phase change of MNH is not observed. The temperature of the only phase transition of MNH in our experimental temperature range was \( T_{\text{trs}} = 72.5 \pm 0.9 \, ^\circ\text{C} \) with \( \Delta_{\text{trs}} \, H = 3.1 \pm 0.2 \, \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \) and the substance melts at the temperature \( T_m = 89.7 \pm 0.4 \, ^\circ\text{C} \) with \( \Delta_{\text{fus}} \, H = 40.8 \pm 0.5 \, \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \). All values are in good agreement with literature data [1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 11-15] listed in Tab. 4.

**Table 4** Temperatures and enthalpies of fusion and phase transition of MNH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T_{\text{trs}}/\text{K} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_{\text{trs}} , H/\text{kJ mol}^{-1} )</th>
<th>( T_m/^\circ\text{C} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_{\text{fus}} , H/\text{kJ mol}^{-1} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_{\text{fus}} , S/\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>363.15</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>362.65 ± 0.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368.15</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>362.15</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362.45</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>362.15</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346.15</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>363.15</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>344 ± 2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>363.15</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>[5, 3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>362.85 ± 0.4</td>
<td>40.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This work
The polynomial function expressing temperature dependency of heat capacity was determined for MNH in two temperature ranges divided by solid-solid transition from 234.15 K up to temperature 343.65 K with the $R^2 = 0.99441$ and from 350.65 K and upper limit with $R^2 = 0.99875$ was reached at temperature 357.15 K. Therefore the parameters of the polynomial function showed in Tab. 5 are fitted in two separate regions with break between 343.65-350.65 °C.

\[
\text{Fig. 2} \text{ The temperature dependence of the molar heat capacity of Mg(NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O. Insert presents the temperature range of solid phase with (s)-(s) phase transition. -[14], - - - Chyba! Nenalezen zdroj odkazů. ☆ - [11], + - this work}
\]

\[
\text{Table 5} \text{ Parameters (a, b, c) and correlation coefficient (R}^2\text{) of polynomial functions (Eq. 2) of MNH}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>234.15 - 343.65 K</th>
<th>350.65 – 357.15 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>1115 ± 38</td>
<td>56146 ± 2710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>-6.50 ± 0.25</td>
<td>-318 ± 15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>$11.4 \times 10^3 ± 0.4 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$0.455 ± 0.022$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.99441</td>
<td>0.99875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The temperature dependency of heat capacity was used for the calculation of the change of enthalpy, entropy and Gibbs energy ($\Delta H$, $\Delta S$ and $\Delta G$) of MNH according to Eqs. (3)-(5) and the obtained values are summarised in Table 6.

The total amount of accumulated energy of MNH was determined as sum of sensible heats, enthalpy of fusion and latent heat. MNH shows one phase transition from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ modification and must be taken into account as is shown in Eq. (7).
\[ Q = \int_{298.15}^{T_f} C_p(s_a)\,dT + \Delta_{tr} H + \int_{T_f}^{T_p} C_p(s_p)\,dT + \Delta_{fus} H \]  

(7)

The amount of stored energy when the MNH is heated from room temperature to melting is \( Q = 63.8 \, \text{kJ mol}^{-1} \).

**Kinetics of solid-solid phase transformation in Mg(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}.6H\textsubscript{2}O**

The kinetics of modification change of MNH was studied using several heating rates from 2 to 20 K min\(^{-1}\). Fig. 3 shows that the effect corresponding to the modification change is shifted to higher temperature with increasing heating rate whereas the beginning of fusion (i.e. onset temperature) is almost independent on heating rate. The effect of modification change does not overlap with endothermic effect of fusion as is clearly seen in Fig. 3 and thus, standard kinetic analysis can be performed.

![Fig. 3](image)

**Fig. 3** Temperature dependence of heat flow for heating rate of 5 and 20 K min\(^{-1}\). Insert figure shows heat flow dependence on temperature for wet and normal MNH sample heated by rate of 10 K min\(^{-1}\).

The measured heat flow \( \Phi \) can be described by the kinetic equation [20]

\[ \Phi = \Delta H A \exp \left( \frac{-E}{RT} \right) f(\alpha) \]  

(8)

where \( \Delta H \) is the enthalpy of the process (calculated from the area below the observed DSC peak, i.e. peak of solid-solid transformation), \( A \) is the pre-exponential factor, \( E \) is the apparent activation energy, \( R \) is a gas constant and \( T \) is temperature. The function \( f(\alpha) \) is an
analytical expression of the kinetic model where $\alpha$ is a degree of conversion. The data from DSC provides kinetic triplet necessary for further analysis: heat flow, temperature (or time in isothermal mode), conversion. Having these triplets the kinetic analysis can be performed. During the kinetic analysis first the apparent activation energy was calculated, then the applicability of commonly used models for description of modification change (such as nucleation-growth model, reaction order, autocatalytical model, etc. [20,23,24]) was tested and finally the parameters of the suitable model were calculated.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 4** Dependence of $\ln(\frac{\beta}{T_p^2})$ and $\ln(\beta)$ on reciprocal value of temperature corresponding to the maximum of DSC peak.

The most frequently used method of $E$ evaluation - the Kissinger method [21] is applicable only for non-isothermal experiments where the temperature corresponding to the maximum of peak ($T_p$) shifts with the heating rate ($\beta$). The slope of the $\ln(\frac{\beta}{T_p^2})$ dependence on $1/T_p$ is equal to $-E/R$. Very similar is the Ozawa method [22] where the slope of the $\ln(\beta)$ dependence on $1/T_p$ is equal to $-1.052E/R$. Both dependences of heating rate on $1/T_p$ are given in Fig. 4 where is clearly seen that the value for $5 \text{ K min}^{-1}$ is deviating from linear dependences. Thus, the activation energy determined by both methods has high error limits; $E$ calculated by Kissinger method is equal to $520 \pm 55 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and by Ozawa method $500 \pm 52 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. The value of $E$ determined by Kissinger method was used for following steps in kinetic analysis.
Very simple way how to test the applicability of commonly used model is calculation of characteristic function $y(\alpha)$ and $z(\alpha)$ [22]. In non-isothermal conditions the functions are defined as [24]:

$$y(\alpha) = \Phi \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)$$

$$z(\alpha) = \Phi T^2$$

In both cases is heat flow and temperature taken from DSC results (correspond to observed DSC peak, see Fig. 3 and 6). These functions are normalized within the $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ range (normalizing mean that minimum value of function equals to 0 and its maximum to 1) and they exhibit maxima at particular conversion which is signed as $\alpha_M$ for $y(\alpha)$ function and $\alpha_p^\infty$ for $z(\alpha)$ function, respectively. The exact values of these maxima or their relation as well as the shape of the curves $y(\alpha)$ and $z(\alpha)$ suggest a suitable kinetic model as is described in papers [24, 25]. As the $y(\alpha)$ and $z(\alpha)$ functions are invariable with respect to temperature or heating rate, being quite sensitive to subtle changes in the kinetic model $f(\alpha)$, they can be conveniently employed as suitable tools for the kinetic model determination [21].

**Fig. 5** Dependence of $y(\alpha)$ and $z(\alpha)$ function on conversion.

Both functions were calculated from experimental data according to the Eqs. (9)-(10). The illustration of the shape of these functions is depicted in Fig. 5. Shape of $z(\alpha)$ function and conversion corresponding to the maximum of this function is not so different for all heating rates used. On the contrary, shape of $y(\alpha)$ function and conversion corresponding to the maximum of this function strongly depends on heating rate which means that the mechanism of observed process depends on heating rate.
The conversion corresponding to the maximum of characteristic functions (summarised in Table 7) fulfils the condition that $0<\alpha_M<\alpha_p^\infty$ so only the autocatalytical model (AC) can be used to describe the observed process [25]. The autocatalytical model is an empirical model with parameters $m$ and $n$ [20]:

$$f(\alpha) = \alpha^m (1-\alpha)^n$$

(11)

The autocatalytical model is an empirical model capable to describe kinetics of solid state processes, although the parameters of this model have no physical meaning. However, from the mathematical point of view they have to fulfil some conditions [26], e.g. $m < 1$.

![Fig. 6](image_url)  
**Fig. 6** Temperature dependence of heat flow for given heating rates. Points correspond to experimental data and lines are calculated using parameters summarised in Table 7.

The parameters of the model can be determined by rearrangement of the experimental data [20,23] or can be determined by numerical fitting method [27]. The values of parameters of autocatalytical model and pre-exponential factor obtained by numerical fitting are summarised in Table 7 and comparison of experimental data and calculated curves is given in Fig. 6. Similarly to $y(\alpha)$ function, the values of parameter $m$ and $n$ of AC model depend on heating rate used for DSC measurement. The value of parameter $m$ is increasing from 0.50 to 0.85 with decreasing heating rate whereas the value of parameter $n$ is decreasing from 2.58 to 1.48. This significant change in parameters values should be a consequence of change in mechanism of observed process for different heating rates.
CONCLUSION

The precise measurement of the heat capacity of calcium nitrate tetrahydrate and magnesium nitrate hexahydrate was done using DSC. Based on these results other thermodynamic properties were calculated and amount of accumulated heat was calculated assuming heating of the substance from room temperature up to its melting temperature. From the accumulated energy point of view magnesium nitrate hexahydrate has higher accumulated ability caused by higher melting temperature as well as by occurrence of two endothermic effects. However, evaluation of ability of any substance for thermal energy storage can be done only when recovery of observed phase change is proved which needs additional analysis.

The phase transformation of magnesium nitrate hexahydrate was studied using different heating rates which enable kinetic analysis of obtained data. Results described in this paper showed that the process can be described by empirical autocatalytical model, where the values of its parameters depend on heating rate used. This means that the mechanism of observed process is changing with heating rate.
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